

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,048	03/25/2004	Wayne Robens	17771-298587	5772
25764 7	590 05/02/2005		EXAMINER	
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP			KOCH, GEORGE R	
PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402  ART UNIT 1734			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10 (		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Comments	10/809,048	ROBENS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George R. Koch III	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address -			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	rply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	—· s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>	nce except for formal matte	, ,	s is		
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-57 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-32, 40-49, drawn to a spray gun with a range finder, classified in class 118, subclass 712.
  - II. Claims 33-39, drawn to an optical positioning system, classified in class 356, subclass 3.08.
  - III. Claims 50-57, drawn to a method of positioning a spray gun and coating a substrate, classified in class 427, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions III and I/II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus and sensors can be used with a non-coating material, such as spraying water or sandblasting.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Application/Control Number: 10/809,048 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the spaced apart optical beams are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used with a distance surveying method, such as one that surveys buildings.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, nor Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 7. Species Group 1:
  - a. wherein the range finder is light/laser based and utilizes images.
  - b. Wherein the range finder is ultrasonically based
- 8. Species Group 2:
  - c. Wherein the range finder provides information as images.
  - d. Wherein the range finder provides information as an audible signal.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species FROM EACH GROUP for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 2 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,048 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. A telephone call was made to John Haurykiewicz on 4/27/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,048

Art Unit: 1734

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Koch III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1230 (TDD only). If the applicant cannot make a direct TDD-to-TDD call, the applicant can communicate by calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-866-377-8642 and giving the operator the above TDD number. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R. Koch III Patent Examiner Art Unit 1734

GRK 4/27/2005